



Staff: DR.ANITHA C S

Subject: MAT203 - DISCRETE MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 63** Overall Score: 94.03

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	47	74.6%	834.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	12	19.05%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	3	4.76%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	1.59%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	71.43%	652.5
	2. Charty and Chaefstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	23.81%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	2	3.17%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.59%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	47	74.6%	352.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	15	23.81%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	1	1.59%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	55	87.3%	321.75
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	7.94%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	4.76%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	60	95.24%	240
		Yes	0.67	1	1.59%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.17%	3.3
2		Excellent	9.25	48	76.19%	444
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	22.22%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.59%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	58	92.06%	275.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.76%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.17%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	55	87.3%	378.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	11.11%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.59%	1.78
		Pleasant	6.75	61	96.83%	411.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.59%	2.78
				+ -	1.59%	
		Unpleasant Can't Judge	1.28	0	0%	0
				60	+	
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere Not Sincere	11.50		95.24%	690
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.59%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.59%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1 47	1.59%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	74.6%	528.75
		Good	9.03	14	22.22%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	1	1.59%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	1	1.59%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

- Anitha miss is the best teacher ????
- good

Head of The Department





Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: DR.SONIYA B

Subject : CST201 - DATA STRUCTURES Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 61** Overall Score: 92.85


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	75.41%	816.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	12	19.67%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	3	4.92%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	59.02%	522
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	20	32.79%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	4	6.56%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	1.64%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	48	78.69%	360
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	11	18.03%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	2	3.28%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	50	81.97%	292.5
		10 to 25	3.66	8	13.11%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.28%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.64%	1.64
	5 W/l-4b4b4b	No	4.00	56	91.8%	224
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.28%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	4.92%	4.95
	1 T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Excellent	9.25	41	67.21%	379.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	18	29.51%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.64%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.64%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	56	91.8%	266
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.64%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	6.56%	5.6
	2 D 4 4 1	Yes	6.88	53	86.89%	364.64
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	8.2%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	4.92%	5.34
	4. Dehavior of the too-li-	Pleasant	6.75	57	93.44%	384.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	4.92%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.64%	1.94
	5.0' '/ 6.1 / 1	Sincere	11.50	58	95.08%	667
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.28%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.64%	4.03
	(O UT 1: 00 :	Excellent	11.25	43	70.49%	483.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	21.31%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	4	6.56%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	1.64%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• gi







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: DR.SONIYA B

Subject : CSL201 - DATA STRUCTURES LAB Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 60** Overall Score: 93.25


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	47	78.33%	834.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	13.33%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	3	5%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	2	3.33%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	73.33%	638
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	20%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	2	3.33%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	2	3.33%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	45	75%	337.5
	3. reachers winnighess to flerp	Good	5.71	11	18.33%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	3	5%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	1.67%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	51	85%	298.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	53	88.33%	212
		Yes	0.67	2	3.33%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.33%	8.25
2	1 Table	Excellent	9.25	48	80%	444
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	15%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.33%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.67%	3.16
	2 Speed of aggregatation	Just Right	4.75	53	88.33%	251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	8.33%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.33%	2.8
	2. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	54	90%	371.52
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	6.67%	15.56
		No	0.74	2	3.33%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 Delegain of the torology	Pleasant	6.75	56	93.33%	378
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.33%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.67%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.67%	1.94
	5 Cinconito of the According	Sincere	11.50	58	96.67%	667
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.33%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(O . W.T. 11	Excellent	11.25	47	78.33%	528.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	15%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	2	3.33%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	1.67%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.67%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

 \bullet good







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: SMT. KAVITHA K V

Subject: CST203 - Logic System Design Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 93.27 **Users Completed: 61**


Users	Completed: 61			(Overall Scor	e: 93.2'
ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	49	80.33%	869.7
		Good	14.13	10	16.39%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	2	3.28%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	73.77%	652.5
	,	Good	11.81	12	19.67%	141.7
		Fair	8.11	3	4.92%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.64%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	47	77.05%	352.5
	g r	Good	5.71	12	19.67%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	1	1.64%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	1.64%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	52	85.25%	304.2
		10 to 25	3.66	4	6.56%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	4	6.56%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.64%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	57	93.44%	228
		Yes	0.67	2	3.28%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.28%	3.3
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	45	73.77%	416.2
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	22.95%	88.70
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.64%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.64%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	77.05%	223.
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	10	16.39%	13.4
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	6.56%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	52	85.25%	357.
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	11.48%	27.2
		No	0.74	1	1.64%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.64%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	57	93.44%	384.
	T. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	4.92%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.64%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	59	96.72%	678.
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.64%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.64%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(Occupation of Station	Excellent	11.25	44	72.13%	495
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	19.67%	108.3
		Fair	6.46	4	6.56%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	1.64%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0
		1	1 =.00	1 -	1	_ ~

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• nice







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: SRI. CHITHARANJAN K

Subject: CST205 - OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING USING JAVA

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 62** Overall Score: 83.43


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	53.23%	585.75
	1. Subject Knowleage	Good	14.13	17	27.42%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	8	12.9%	82.24
		Poor	3.53	4	6.45%	14.12
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	38.71%	348
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	22	35.48%	259.82
		Fair	8.11	11	17.74%	89.21
		Poor	2.01	5	8.06%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	50%	232.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	19	30.65%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	9	14.52%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	3	4.84%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.A. ' + 0/ C.1. NOT. 11 4 + 1	Less than 10	5.85	45	72.58%	263.25
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	10	16.13%	36.6
		More than 25	1.91	5	8.06%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.23%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	72.58%	180
		Yes	0.67	9	14.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	8	12.9%	13.2
2		Excellent	9.25	35	56.45%	323.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	21	33.87%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	6	9.68%	15
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	45	72.58%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	11.29%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	16.13%	14
		Yes	6.88	46	74.19%	316.48
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	17.74%	42.79
		No	0.74	3	4.84%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.23%	3.56
			6.75	53		
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant Indifferent	2.78	6	85.48% 9.68%	357.75 16.68
			1.28	2	3.23%	2.56
		Unpleasant				
		Can't Judge	1.94	52	1.61%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere Not Sincere	11.50	53	85.48%	609.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	6.45%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	6.45%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	1	1.61%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	50%	348.75
		Good	9.03	13	20.97%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	13	20.97%	83.98
		Poor	1.56	4	6.45%	6.24
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.61%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

• fair

Special Remarks

 \bullet good





Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: SRI. CHITHARANJAN K

Subject: CSL203 - OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING LAB (IN JAVA)

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021
Users Completed : 61
Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020
Overall Score : 86.79


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	60.66%	656.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	21.31%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	7	11.48%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	2	3.28%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.28%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	34	55.74%	493
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	21.31%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	9	14.75%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	2	3.28%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	4.92%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	57.38%	262.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	17	27.87%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	7	11.48%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	1	1.64%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.64%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	51	83.61%	298.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	8.2%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.92%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.28%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	49	80.33%	196
		Yes	0.67	6	9.84%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	9.84%	9.9
2	1 T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Excellent	9.25	38	62.3%	351.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	18	29.51%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.64%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.56%	12.64
	2.0 1.6	Just Right	4.75	48	78.69%	228
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.92%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	16.39%	14
		Yes	6.88	47	77.05%	323.36
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	14.75%	35.01
		No	0.74	1	1.64%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	6.56%	7.12
	4.7.1	Pleasant	6.75	53	86.89%	357.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.56%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.64%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	4.92%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	55	90.16%	632.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.64%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.64%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	4	6.56%	16.12
		Excellent	11.25	37	60.66%	416.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	18.03%	99.33
				7		+
		Fair	6.46		11.48%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	2	3.28%	3.12
	and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	4	6.56%	8.12

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• k







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: SMT. KUTTY MALU V K

Subject: HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 61** Overall Score: 95.93


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	48	78.69%	852
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	18.03%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	2	3.28%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	49	80.33%	710.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	13.11%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	4	6.56%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	52	85.25%	390
	3. reachers winnighess to flerp	Good	5.71	8	13.11%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	1	1.64%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	54	88.52%	315.9
	4. Approximate /0 of classes (vol engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	8.2%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.64%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	1.64%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	58	95.08%	232
		Yes	0.67	2	3.28%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.64%	1.65
2	1 Tarahamatilikaka amanina lakama	Excellent	9.25	49	80.33%	453.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	11	18.03%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.64%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 51-5	Just Right	4.75	57	93.44%	270.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.28%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.28%	2.8
	2 D41-41	Yes	6.88	56	91.8%	385.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	8.2%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 Delegain of the torology	Pleasant	6.75	60	98.36%	405
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.64%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5 Cinquity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	61	100%	701.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(O UT 1' % '	Excellent	11.25	52	85.25%	585
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	11.48%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	2	3.28%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• k







Staff: SRI. REJIMOAN R

Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 61 Overall Score: 92.21


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	72.13%	781
	i. Sabject Hilo wedge	Good	14.13	14	22.95%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	1	1.64%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.64%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.64%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	42	68.85%	609
	2. Clarity and Chaersanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	24.59%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	3	4.92%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.64%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	40	65.57%	300
		Good	5.71	17	27.87%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	2	3.28%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	2	3.28%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	50	81.97%	292.5
		10 to 25	3.66	7	11.48%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	6.56%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	55	90.16%	220
	5. Wholes the touches distance notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.28%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	6.56%	6.6
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	72.13%	407
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	24.59%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.28%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	54	88.52%	256.5
	2. speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.64%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	9.84%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	54	88.52%	371.52
	or zone the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	9.84%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	1.64%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54	88.52%	364.5
	III SOMETICE OF THE CONTROL	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.56%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.28%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.64%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	58	95.08%	667
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.28%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.64%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	44	72.13%	495
	and a state of the	Good	9.03	12	19.67%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	2	3.28%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	2	3.28%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.64%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

 \bullet good







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: SMT. BINURAJAN M R

Subject : CSL201 - DATA STRUCTURES LAB Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 52** Overall Score: 88.8


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	76.92%	710
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5	9.62%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	2	3.85%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.85%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	69.23%	522
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	19.23%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	3	5.77%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.85%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	75%	292.5
	3. Teachers Winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	7	13.46%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	1	1.92%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	2	3.85%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	5.77%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	43	82.69%	251.55
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.77%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.92%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.62%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	82.69%	172
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.69%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.62%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	71.15%	342.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	19.23%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.69%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	84.62%	209
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.62%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	76.92%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.46%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.77%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	88.46%	310.5
	T. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.92%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.85%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	84.62%	506
	3. Smearity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4	7.69%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	69.23%	405
	o. Overall reaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	8	15.38%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	7.69%	8.12

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• nice







Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19

Staff: DR.JAYASUDHA J S

Subject: CSL203 - OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING LAB (IN JAVA)

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 52** Overall Score: 88.85

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	67.31%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	21.15%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	5.77%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	61.54%	464
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	26.92%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	2	3.85%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	4	7.69%	16.36
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	63.46%	247.5
	3. Teachers Winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	1	1.92%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	4	7.69%	8.84
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	80.77%	245.7
	1. Tapproximate 70 of classes 1.0.1 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.69%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.54%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	176
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.85%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.54%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	71.15%	342.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	19.23%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	9.62%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	86.54%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.92%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	11.54%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	75%	268.32
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	11.54%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	1.92%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	11.54%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	90.38%	317.25
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.92%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.69%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	86.54%	517.5
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.92%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.77%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	3	5.77%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	35	67.31%	393.75
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	19.23%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	2	3.85%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	5	9.62%	10.15

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks

• nice

